

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,488	10/12/2000	Kevin Frank Smith	SJ00-00-044	7862
36491 7.	590 07/20/2004		EXAM	INER
KUNZLER & ASSOCIATES 8 EAST BROADWAY SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			LI, ZHUO H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

A	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0	09/689,488	SMITH, KEVIN FRANK	
E	xaminer	Art Unit	
Z	Zhuo H Li	2186	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Exami	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь) <u>Б</u>	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any potent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠	
5.⊠ 6.□	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
6.	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: please see attachment for detail responses.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
6.	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: please see attachment for detail responses.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an
6.	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: please see attachment for detail responses.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
6.	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: please see attachment for detail responses.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
6.	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: please see attachment for detail responses.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:
6.	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: please see attachment for detail responses.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7,8,12-27 and 29-32.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
6.	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: please see attachment for detail responses.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.7.8.12-27 and 29-32.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
6.□	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: please see attachment for detail responses.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
6.□ 7.⊠ 8.□ 9.□	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: please see attachment for detail responses.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7,8,12-27 and 29-32.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

Best Available Copy

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/689,488

Art Unit: 2186

- 1. This Advice Action is in response to the amendment filed on June 16, 2004.
- 2. The Declaration filed on June 10, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the prior art reference of Datta, Anindya (US PAT. 6,622,168), because Applicant failed to provide the evidences or proof of documents which indicated on the declaration statement of IBM disclosure submission form number SJO8-1999-0443. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See *Mergenthaler v. Scudder*, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897).

MAZMEW UM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100